EPRI Solar Thermal Hybrid Demonstration Project at a Natural Gas Combined-Cycle Plant

Overview, Value, and Deliverables
The impetus to reduce CO₂ emissions and meet renewable portfolio standards has led power project developers to consider solar thermal hybrids, wherein steam generated from solar collectors is fed into the steam cycle of a fossil-fueled generating unit. Combining the two types of generation within a single power cycle capitalizes on the strengths of both:

- The combination of fossil and solar generation ensures dispatchable power is available to the grid at all times.
- Solar thermal hybrid technologies potentially can help meet renewable portfolio standards and CO₂ emissions reduction goals at a lower capital cost than stand-alone solar plants because the existing power block and other generation infrastructure can be used.
- Siting solar technology at an existing fossil plant site can shorten project development timelines and reduce transmission and interconnection costs.
- Solar thermal augmentation reduces fossil plant emissions and fuel costs per MWh generated and may help increase plant output during times of peak demand.

These advantages have led the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) to form a collaborative to support a solar thermal hybrid demonstration project at a natural gas combined-cycle (NGCC) plant.

EPRI plans to work with NV Energy as the host of the project, which entails integration of a nominal 95-megawatt (MW) solar concentrator field into the existing 1102-MW Chuck Lenzie Generating Station, located north of Las Vegas, Nevada. The detailed engineering phase of this project is scheduled to start in 2011 and it is anticipated that either a parabolic trough or central receiver solar collector system will be used. Once completed, it will be one of the largest solar-fossil applications in the world.

The project is a follow-on to an EPRI study in 2008-2009, which assessed the feasibility of integrating solar thermal steam into existing NGCC plants. The study included a broad conceptual modeling effort to evaluate all feasible integration options, as well as detailed case studies at member sites, including the Chuck Lenzie Generating Station.

NV Energy’s Renewable Energy Vice President Tom Fair said his company is encouraged by the results of initial studies of solar hybrid applications with currently existing generation assets. “We are excited about the possibility of integrating solar steam into one of our conventional natural gas fueled power stations and are pleased to be working with EPRI to leverage this state-of-the-art technology. Focusing on fully understanding the technical and economic facets of this innovative technology before seeking regulatory approvals needed for design and construction will assure that this project makes the most sense for our customers. We anticipate this could be a great way to increase our use of solar energy, reduce overall emissions and maximize the use of our existing assets,” Fair said.

EPRI has determined that combined-cycle plants with duct firing capability, such as Chuck Lenzie, are well-suited for solar integration because of the steam turbine’s ability to accommodate additional steam from the solar field while the combustion turbines are running at full load. EPRI’s work to date indicates the preferred option for this demonstration project will be integration with the high-pressure stage of the plant’s heat recovery steam generator.

“This new project will seek to demonstrate a near-term and cost-effective way to use solar energy at commercial scale,” said Carolyn Shockley, Vice President of Generation at EPRI. “This is a key step along the road toward taking full advantage of technologies to lower the industry’s carbon footprint.”
The demonstration project is organized in three phases. Specific tasks and activities during each phase are designed to make maximum use of EPRI’s capabilities and offer the greatest benefits to funders:

**Phase 1, the Design Phase**, includes design, siting, and permitting, and is expected to take approximately one year. EPRI will review the proposed design (including the solar field, control system, and integration into the steam cycle) to help ensure the solar thermal system will meet intended cost and performance targets without negatively impacting the plant’s operating performance and reliability, availability, and maintainability (RAM). EPRI also will provide support for permitting activities.

**Phase 2, the Construction Phase**, is expected to take two to three years. Along with site construction activities, Phase 2 includes development of test plans for performance evaluation. The EPRI team will participate in test selection; development of commissioning, operating, and testing procedures; establishment of performance metrics; and design of the monitoring systems for the solar field and the integrated hybrid plant.

**Phase 3, the Operations Phase**, will encompass EPRI’s testing and monitoring activities for one to two years, as needed, to capture performance and RAM data. EPRI will conduct performance evaluations and component tests. Results will help funders design performance test and monitoring plans for their own solar thermal hybrid plants, and should identify opportunities for improving the cost-effectiveness of integrated solar thermal hybrid systems.

**PROJECT VALUE**

Participants in the EPRI collaborative project can gain insight into the state of solar thermal technology development and hybrid project design knowledge, which can be applied to their own generation resource studies or future project developments. The real-world setting for the project (i.e., a plant operating in a commercial dispatch environment) provides a significant opportunity for learning and for verifying performance model predictions.

The demonstration project will provide:

- Detailed insights into solar thermal technologies and their integration with NGCC plants
- Independent assessments of plant technology and economic performance
- Access to project reports, best practices, plant data, and other materials
- Timely knowledge of the project through site visits, webcasts, and access to project experts
- Identification of opportunities for future performance and cost improvements, as well as risk reduction
- Procedures for solar plant performance monitoring and evaluation

**DELIVERABLES**

Table 1 presents the deliverables anticipated from the project, while Table 2 presents examples of the types of information which will be developed by the project.

**Kickoff Meeting**

A kickoff meeting will be held in 2011 to introduce collaborative funders to project personnel (NV Energy, solar technology supplier, and EPRI team), confirm project objectives, and review the plan of action. The kickoff meeting may be coupled with a visit to a solar technology supplier’s facilities or the project site.

**Webcasts**

EPRI and its partners (NV Energy and solar technology supplier) will hold two webcasts annually to provide funders with information on project accomplishments and technical findings on (a) system integration and performance, (b) challenges encountered and their resolution, and (c) operational issues (following startup).

**Site Visits**

Site visits will occur twice a year beginning in the second year of the project, nominally spaced in even intervals with the webcasts (i.e., a webcast or site visit will occur roughly every quarter). The site visits afford the opportunity for more detailed technical updates and firsthand observations of the integrated solar/NGCC plant systems in operation. Engineers from EPRI, NV Energy, the solar technology supplier, and possibly A&E contractors will be on hand to answer questions. Both process design/construction and operations/test groups will be represented. During the site visits, the project host and solar equipment supplier will share their experiences and lessons learned as well as performance and RAM results.
**Project Reports**
Each participant will receive the reports listed in Table 1 (based on non-proprietary information) covering the full spectrum of the project's phases.

**Project Schedule**
The anticipated project schedule (above) shows the relationship between project development activities and the related EPRI R&D. The final schedule will depend on the pace of development by the project host.

---

### Table 1. Deliverables for the Solar Thermal Hybrid Demonstration Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Key Content</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meetings and Webcasts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kickoff meeting</td>
<td>Provide the schedule and plan and assure that collaborative funders are fully informed on the project</td>
<td>Project start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webcasts</td>
<td>Project status, issues/resolution (technical and programmatic), performance results (solar steam contribution, plant heat rate improvement, operability, etc.). Primary focus on integration issues, but will include available data or experiences related to the solar field.</td>
<td>A minimum of 2 per year after the kickoff meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site visits (combined with project review meetings)</td>
<td>In-depth technical updates, observations of solar field operation with the plant, and opportunities for in-depth dialogue with demo team</td>
<td>Twice per year starting in the second project year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project design report</td>
<td>Plant design characteristics (including solar technology, integration approach, etc.); considerations in technology selection; permitting issues; etc.</td>
<td>Provided after the design phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test planning report</td>
<td>Procedures for testing during commissioning and operations</td>
<td>Provided after test plans are developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction report</td>
<td>Construction activities; major as-built modifications to design; construction lessons learned</td>
<td>Provided after the construction phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioning report</td>
<td>Results from pre-operational testing, including comparison with expected results</td>
<td>Provided after completion of commissioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations report</td>
<td>Results from plant performance testing/monitoring during first year of operation; technical and economic analysis of plant performance</td>
<td>At the end of the project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Examples of Information Types to be Developed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Process Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Plant Design          | - Site characteristics and constraints  
- Technology selection process and criteria  
- Design approaches considered  
- Cost-benefit analysis of integration approach  
- Modifications to steam cycle including turbine and BOP equipment  
- Site layout and photographs  
- Process description (including process flow diagrams, P&IDs, etc.)  
- Process monitoring, control, and instrumentation  
- Performance targets  
- Estimated capital investment and O&M costs |
| Permitting Issues     | - Permits required  
- Environmental considerations  
- Challenges encountered and lessons learned |
| Construction          | - Project schedule  
- Site preparation  
- Solar field construction plan and timeline  
- Balance-of-plant construction (solar steam generator, pumps, tanks, etc.)  
- Construction progress photographs  
- As-built process diagrams characterizing flow streams at selected partial and full loads with and without varying levels of solar augmentation  
- As-built budget and schedule (including changes from plans)  
- Lessons learned from construction |
| Commissioning         | - Testing procedures for solar field and integrated plant  
- Pre-operational test results (direct normal irradiation, solar steam flow rate, temperature and pressure into the steam cycle, plant steam cycle conditions, electricity generation, changes in fuel use and emissions, etc.)  
- Time for solar field to come online each day  
- Plant operational characteristics and constraints  
- Assessment of actual vs. design performance  
- Lessons learned (including control and integration issues) |
| Operational Performance & Experience | - Comparison of actual O&M requirements and costs with expected values  
- Plant technical, economic, and environmental performance based on independent testing  
- Reliability and availability estimates with equipment failure analysis  
- Performance for different operating modes (including startup, planned and forced shutdowns, cloud transients, and normal operation)  
- Opportunities for cost reduction and performance improvement through O&M adjustments, design modifications, operational techniques, etc.  
- Overall lessons learned and best practices |
CONCLUDING REMARKS AND CONTACT INFORMATION

EPRI is supporting nine industry technology demonstrations as part of its efforts to help develop a “full portfolio” of technology approaches needed to make substantial CO₂ emissions reductions while minimizing economic impacts. EPRI’s Prism and MERGE analyses (available at www.epri.com) found that deployment of a full portfolio of advanced technologies, including carbon capture and storage, could reduce U.S. electric sector CO₂ emissions by 2030 to a level below 1990 emissions.

Contact Information

For more information on the Solar Thermal Hybrid Demonstration Project at a Natural Gas Combined-Cycle Plant, please contact:

Andy Maxson at 650.855.2334 (amaxson@epri.com)
Cara Libby at 650.855.2382 (clibby@epri.com)

Or contact the EPRI Customer Assistance Center at 800.313.3774 (askepri@epri.com).
The Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. (EPRI, www.epri.com) conducts research and development relating to the generation, delivery and use of electricity for the benefit of the public. An independent, nonprofit organization, EPRI brings together its scientists and engineers as well as experts from academia and industry to help address challenges in electricity, including reliability, efficiency, health, safety and the environment. EPRI also provides technology, policy and economic analyses to drive long-range research and development planning, and supports research in emerging technologies. EPRI’s members represent more than 90 percent of the electricity generated and delivered in the United States, and international participation extends to 40 countries. EPRI’s principal offices and laboratories are located in Palo Alto, Calif.; Charlotte, N.C.; Knoxville, Tenn.; and Lenox, Mass.
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